

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
PE17682PC00	International filing date (day/month	ay/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
International application No.	•						
PCT/SE2002/002332 13-12-2002							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC							
H04L 29/08, G06F 11/00, H04Q 7/38							
Applicant							
Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (publ) et al							
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
This report is also accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprising:						
a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 3 sheets, as follows:							
sheets of the	description, claims and/or drawings v	which have been amended and are the basis of this report					
and/or sheets Administrativ	ve Instructions).	by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the					
sheets which	supersede earlier sheets, but which the	nis Authority considers contain an amendment that goes					
beyond the d Supplementa		ion as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the					
l —							
b (sent to the Internation		type and number of electronic carrier(s)) nce listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer					
readable form only,	, containing a sequence , containing a sequence , sindicated in the Supplemental Box	Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the					
Administrative Instru							
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
Box No. I Basis of	of the report						
Box No. II Priorit	Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-ea	stablishment of opinion with regard t	o novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack o	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial							
_ = =	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ox No. VI Certain documents cited						
Box No. VII Certain							
Box No. VIII Certain							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report					
	·	·					
08-07-2004	24-0	2-2005					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/S	<u>'</u>	Authorized officer					
Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055							
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM		Roger Bou Faisal /LR					
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88		Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2002/002332

Box	No. I	Ba	sis of the report				
1:	With 1	egard to	o the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless cated under this item.				
	This report is based on a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:						
			international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))				
•		Ħ	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)				
		Ħ	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	significant this remort is based on (replacement sheets which have been						
		the int	ernational application as originally filed/furnished				
	\boxtimes	the dea	scription:				
		pages	1-12 as originally filed/furnished				
		pages*					
		pages*	received by this Authority on				
	\boxtimes	the cla	·				
		pages	as originally filed/furnished as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19				
		pages'	05.04.0005				
•		pages'					
	\square						
			awings: 1-5 as originally filed/furnished				
		pages pages					
		pages					
İ			nence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.				
3.		The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
	_		de description magas				
ŀ		님	the description, pages				
		H	the claims, Nos.				
			the drawings, sheets/figs				
			the sequence listing (specify):				
1			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):				
4.	4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not be made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (R 70.2(c)).						
1			the description, pages				
			the claims, Nos.				
			the drawings, sheets/figs				
			the sequence listing (specify):				
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):				
*	If ite	m 4 app	lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."				
1							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2002/002332

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Statement Novel	lty (N)	Claims Claims	1-16	YES NO		

 Claims
 1-16
 YES

 Claims
 NO

Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-16 YES
Claims NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Inventive step (IS)

The object of the invention is to achieve reliable and informative error messages for client-server communication through an intermediate device. The error status code sent from the server is transformed into an informative error description message including an extended error description text as well as a new status code.

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: WO 0157666, A2 D2: US 6353855, B1

D3: US 2002126708, A1 D4: WO 02096063, A2

D5: US 6134680, A

Document D1 is considered to represent the closest prior art.

D1 relates to a method for generating error messages in a web based application, said method comprising the steps of searching said application for a predetermined error number; retrieving an error message corresponding to said error number; applying said error message to a style sheet in an error form; and displaying said error form on a requesting device (abstract; page 3, line 1- line 25; page 4, line 29-page 6, line 12; page 7, line 26-page 8, line 2; page 19, line 24-page 20, line 27; and claim 1).

The invention according to independent amended claims 1, 9 and 16 differs from D1 by transforming, at the intermediate device, the first status code into an error description message comprising an error description text and a second HTTP status

.../...

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2002/002332

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V

code. This step is performed in D1 by a processor in the Web Server and not by the intermediate server as in the claimed invention. Placing the processor and the error translation procedure in the intermediate device (Proxy server), which can be compared to the gateway function in D1, is an obvious step to a person skilled in the art. The object of the invention is to provide textual information to an end user describing the error.

However, the main objective of the present invention according to the amended claims is to overcome the problem of inadequate or misleading server-originating error information to the end user, which when using on external server or an MMSC, for example, may wrongly make the end user believe that the service is bad. Document D1, on the other hand, is concerned with providing a mechanism for formalized error handling and more user-friendly error messages.

In D2 there is described a procedure where the intermediate device, placed between a client sending requests to a server, is capable of translating and handling status descriptions based on the status indications and the determined characteristics of the users.

However, D2 does not suggest providing a new status code. The only status code presented is the first one, accompanying the original error message in the conventional way. D2 simply explains in a more user-friendly way what the first HTTP status code means.

The cited prior art documents are entirely focused on the content of the status description/error message to make it user friendly or capable of handling multilingual systems, and the control of the error information lies with the server device. D1 and D2 use one status code in a most conventional manner and contain no indication or suggestion pointing towards the solution claimed in accordance with the present invention.

Thus, the invention according to amended independent claims 1, 9 and 16 is novel and is considered to involve an inventive step.